

SOFTIC Symposium 2002

"DISPUTE RESOLUTION MECHANISM IN THE IT AGE"

Tokyo – 15 November 2002

A view from the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)



International Credentials

- "Ancient and modern"
- Universally known and recognised as "LCIA"
- Worldwide administration
- Current rules introduced January 1998



The Organisation

- The Company
- The Arbitration Court
- The Secretariat



The Company

- Not-for-profit
- Limited by guarantee
- Concerned with business development
- No active role in casework administration

Profile of the modern LCIA *The Arbitration Court*

- 35 members many jurisdictions
- Final authority for proper application of Rules
- Principal functions:

appointment of Tribunals determining challenges control of costs

No Court scrutiny of Awards



The Secretariat

- Based at International Dispute Resolution Centre (IDRC)
- Principal function administration of casework LCIA and UNCITRAL
- Also educational function
- Role of Users' Councils



Flexible Administration

- Computer monitoring/variable level of control
- Accessible secretariat
- On-going advice and support service for LCIA and non-LCIA enquiries



Extensive Administration Services

- UNCITRAL (admin. not just appointing)
- ad hoc
- Scheme-specific/tailor made (also drafting)
- Worldwide



Location

- London base no bar to administering anywhere in the world
- London's importance as a financial and commercial centre
- Wealth of arbitration and ADR expertise as seat or for hearings only



English Arbitration Act 1996

- Underwrites flexibility and party control
- Supports institutional rules
- Awards only challenged on very limited grounds lack of substantial jurisdiction serious irregularity



Arbitration Casework

- Wide range of subject matter
- Wide range of nationalities



Charges

- Not based on sums in issue
- Registration fee £1,500
- Hourly rates for Secretariat and Tribunals
- Parties earn interest on sums deposited
- Unused deposits refunded
- Transparency



Mediation Procedure

Introduced 1999

"One-stop shop"

Choosing Dispute Clauses

- Dispute resolution procedures driven by users
- Increasing number of options
- Enforceability, neutrality, confidentiality, costeffectiveness, speed
- Think also flexibility

Choosing Dispute Clauses

Options

- Early neutral evaluation
- Dispute review boards
- Expert determination
- Mediation
- Adjudication
- Arbitration
- Litigation...
- ... or a combination of these

Choosing Dispute Clauses Criteria

- Is a binding decision required (enforcement/insurance)?
- Is an expert opinion sufficient?
- Is time of the essence?
- To what extent is an investigation required?
- Should the procedures track the project?
- How many contracting parties/how many separate contracts?



The Administered Arbitration Option Certainty in Drafting

- Proven set of terms and conditions, regardless of seat
- Less scope for uncertainty, less opportunity for delay
- Ad hoc clauses may be inadequate or overcomplex

The Administered Arbitration Option

Taking care of the fundamentals...

- Mechanism and timeframe for appointment of tribunal
- Determining challenges to arbitrators
- Default provisions for the seat and language
- Interim and conservatory measures
- Control of costs



.....without recourse to the Courts

- Procedural law may provide for these
- But time-consuming, costly to invoke jurisdiction of Courts at every procedural impasse
- Court intervention may jeopardise confidentiality



Professional administration...

• Professional administrative services, which an *ad hoc* tribunal frequently cannot adequately provide

The Administered Arbitration Option

...Cost-effective administration

- Ad hoc arbitrations do not run themselves
- Add the institution's costs....
-but save opportunity and financial cost (parties, tribunal, lawyers)
- More efficient and cost-effective administration by specialists



Controlled costs

A framework of charges for administrative services and for arbitrators



Administration of Funds

- Institution as secure and independent fundholders
- Interest accrues to the parties' account



Testing the water

• Forcing/crystallising settlement discussions

• A quicker and cheaper way to demonstrate earnest



Knowledge of Arbitrators

- Detailed knowledge of, and access to, best qualified arbitrators
- A greater pool of talent and expertise

The Administered Arbitration Option

Keeping the Process Moving

- Monitoring the process
- Lending support to parties, counsel and arbitrators
- Giving the occasional judicious nudge
- Informal soundings



Balance of Relationships

- Two sides to a dispute
- Inequality of knowledge and experience
- Ensure due process
- Safeguard the process and the outcome

The Administered Arbitration Option

Ad-hoc more likely to mimic litigation

- Ad hoc mimics the Courts?
- Institutional provides separate and distinct culture and procedure



The Imprimatur of the Institution

- Greater respect?
- Greater weight?
- Greater confidence?



Permanent Information Service

- Institution not just for use "in anger"
- Permanent source of information and support



Commencing the Arbitration (Article 1)

- Quick and inexpensive
- Brief Request for Arbitration
- Statement of Case comes later
- "Testing the Water"



The Response (Article 2)

- Brief Response to Request
- Not mandatory
- Statement of Defence/Counterclaim comes later

LCIA Rules

Three or More Parties (Article 8)

- Joint Claimants identify themselves as one side by submitting a single Request and jointly nominating an arbitrator
- Joint Respondents may deny commonality of interest and object to jointly nominating one arbitrator.
- If so, the LCIA Court will appoint tribunal without regard to any nomination



Expedited Formation of the Tribunal (Article 9)

- Expedited appointment in cases of "exceptional urgency"
- Often used with application for urgent injunctive relief



Challenges to Arbitrators (Article 10)

- Globalisation means more frequent conflict
- Vital role for institutions

LCIA Rules

Majority Power to Continue Proceedings (Article 12)

 If one arbitrator refuses to participate, remaining two may proceed to an Award, without nonparticipating arbitrator



Additional Powers of the Tribunal (Article 22)

- Useful and extensive check-list of powers
- Article 22.1(h) joinder



Interim and Conservatory Measures (Article 25)

- Range of powers for interim relief
- Article 25.1(c) power to order provisionally anything that may be determined in an award



The Award (Article 26)

No scrutiny/no delay



Correction of Awards (Article 27)

- Not only typographical/clerical corrections
- Also additional Award(s) if matters missed by tribunal



Arbitration and Legal Costs (Article 28)

- Arbitration costs determined by LCIA Court
- Transparent accounting



Confidentiality (Article 30)

Principle of confidentiality expressly provided in LCIA rules